arXiv:1206.7079v1 [math-ph] 29 Jun 2012

Volume-Preserving Conservative Dynamics with Equilibrium Stochastic Damping

Hong Qian

Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Washington, Seattle
WA 98195-2420, U.S.A.

July 2, 2012

Abstract

We show that Ao's stochastic process [*J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37**, L25 (2004)] is consistent with a phase-volume preserving conservative dynamical system in equilibrium with a stochastic damping satisfying detailed balance: $dq = \big(\gamma - D\nabla\phi\big)dt + d\xi(t)$ where $\nabla\cdot\gamma = 0$, $\langle\xi(t)\xi(t')\rangle = 2D\delta(t-t')$. The zeroth law of thermodynamics implies stationary density $u^{ss}(q) = e^{-\phi(q)}$. We show that a hallmark of such systems is an orthogonality between the gradient of the stationary density and the conservative rotation in phase space: $\nabla\phi\cdot\gamma = 0$. A stochastic thermodynamics based on time reversal $\big(t,\gamma\big) \to \big(-t,-\gamma\big)$ yields a novel formula for heat dissipation $h_d^*(t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\nabla\phi\cdot D\nabla\ln\big(u(q,t)e^{\phi}\big)dq$ and entropy production $e_p^*(t) = -dF(t)/dt$: The "free energy" $F(t)$ never increases. Entropy balance equation $\frac{dS}{dt} = e_p^* - h_d^*$ is also recovered. The relations among Ao's stochastic process, a Hodge-like potential-flux decomposition, stochastic Hamiltonian system with Klein-Kramers equation, and the theory of GENERIC, are discussed. When ϕ is given, three different types of time reversal leading to different open-system thermodynamics are suggested.

1 Introduction

Significant progress has been made in recent years on stochastic dynamics and thermodynamics of mesoscopic systems. See [1, 2, 3, 4] and references cited within. Historically in chemistry and life sciences, one of the major motivations of stochastic dynamics is overdamped single macromolecule in aqueous solution — the polymer theory [5, 6]. While overdamped stochastic dynamics is extensively studied with wide applications, underdamped systems with stochastic damping has attracted less attention [7, 8], partly because the singular nature of the diffusion process represented by Klein-Kramers equation, the Kolmogorov forward equation for a stochastic Hamiltonian dynamics [7, 9, 10, 11].[1]

In the theory of dynamical systems, conservative dynamics with volume-preserving flow in phase space is the natural generalization of Hamiltonian dynamics [13]. Ordinary differential equation $\dot{q} = \gamma(q)$ with $\nabla \cdot \gamma(q) = 0$ can also be represented as flow in phase space $\partial u_t(q,t) = -\gamma(q) \cdot \nabla u(q,t)$. When coupled to an stochastic, inert damping, it is natural to consider a stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dq(t) = \gamma(q)dt + \Big\{ - D\nabla\phi(q)dt + d\xi(t) \Big\} \tag{1}$$

in which the terms inside $\{\cdots\}$, in the spirit of P. Langevin [14], represent the friction and collision of a stochastic damping: $\langle \xi(t)\xi(t') \rangle = 2D\delta(t-t')$. With a non-driven, inert stochastic damping, the stationary density of the system (1), $u^{ss}(q)$, has to be the *same* as the stationary density of the damping alone:

$$u^{ss}(q) = e^{-\phi(q)}. \tag{2}$$

This is the zeroth law of thermodynamics; it is also the spirit of detailed balance in statistical chemistry and fluctuation-dissipation relation in statistical physics: *If a bath is in equilibrium with a system, then the equilibrium bath is invariant irrespective of whether it is in contact with the system or not.* A nonequilibirum steady state arises when the condition in (2) is violated due to a dis-equilibrium between the bath and the conservative dynamical system [8, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18]. Such a stochastic bath contains active energy as driving force [19].

In 2004, Ao has proposed a novel form of a stochastic process together with a decomposition scheme that yields stationary probability density and stationary rotational motion, as well as a steady state thermodynamics [20, 21]. This novel stochastic theory has intrigued and mystified many researchers. Ao and his coworkers have also carried out explicit computations for linear stochatsic differential equations following his general theory [22]. One of the key features in the linear system is an orthogonality between the gradient of stationary density and the stationary probability flux.

---

[1] I thank Prof. Min Qian of Peking University for extensive discussions on stochastic processes, dynamical systems, and time reversibility. His 1979 paper [11] in Chinese, which had inspired [12], has attemped to introduce a stochastic Hamiltonian system along the line of Klein, Kramers, Wang and Uhlenbeck, and illustrated the importance of fluctuation-dissipation relation in obtaining Gibbs' canonical ensemble.

In the present work, we show that Ao's stochastic processes [20] is indeed a stochastic dynamics with volume-preserving flow in contact with a detailed-balanced stochastic dampling, *i.e.*, Eqs. 1 and 2. We shall also clarify the foundation of Ao's conservative thermodynamics [21]: It is based on the time reversal $\big(t,\gamma\big)\rightarrow\big(-t,-\gamma\big)$ for Eq. 1 [23]. Under this time reversal, entropy production is in fact the decreasing rate of a free energy functional $-dF/dt$, where [21]

$$F\big[u(q,t)\big]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}u(q,t)\ln\Big(u(q,t)e^{\phi(q)}\Big)dq. \tag{3}$$

$-dF/dt$, which is non-negative, has been called free energy dissipation in [24] and non-adiabatic entropy production in [25, 26, 27].

2 Conservative dynamics with stochastic damping

2.1 Ao's stochastic process

Following [20]'s notations, one has

$$\big[S(q)+A(q)\big]\frac{dq}{dt}=-\nabla\phi(q)+\zeta(q,t), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\langle\zeta(q,t)\zeta^T(q,t')\rangle=2S(q)\delta(t-t'). \tag{5}$$

Ao further introduced a transformation via an auxiliary matrix inversion $\big(S(q)+A(q)\big)^{-1}$ $=G(q)$ and obtained [20]

$$\frac{dq}{dt}=-G(q)\nabla\phi(q)+\xi(q,t), \tag{6}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle\xi(q,t)\xi^T(q,t')\big\rangle &= \Big\langle G(q)\zeta(q,t)\zeta^T(q,t')G(q)^T\Big\rangle\\ &= 2G(q)\;S(q)\;G^T(q)\;\delta(t-t').\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Then the associated Kolmogorov partial differential equation in divergence form, following [28, 29], is,

$$\frac{\partial u(q,t)}{dt}=\nabla\cdot\Big[G(q)S(q)G^T(q)\nabla u+G(q)\nabla\phi(q)u\Big]. \tag{8}$$

One of the key results in [20] is that the stationary density for the stochastic process is $e^{-\phi(q)}$. Then the stationary flux $J(q)$ satisfies [30]

$$J(q)e^{\phi(q)} = G(q)S(q)G^T(q)\nabla\phi(q) - G(q)\nabla\phi(q). \tag{9}$$

From Eq. 9 one has

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\nabla\phi(q)\big)^T \cdot J(q)e^{\phi(q)} &= \big(\nabla\phi(q)\big)^T \cdot \Big[GSG^T\nabla\phi(q) - G\nabla\phi(q)\Big] \\ &= \Big(G^T\nabla\phi(q)\Big)^T \cdot \Big[-S + G^{-T}\Big]\Big(G^T\nabla\phi(q)\Big) \\ &= \Big(G^T\nabla\phi(q)\Big)^T \cdot \Big[-S + S + A^T\Big]\Big(G^T\nabla\phi(q)\Big) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Since $e^{\phi(q)} \neq 0$, Eq. 10 means $\big(\nabla\phi(q)\big)^T \cdot J(q) = 0$ is a *necessary condition* for Ao's stochastic processes.

Wang's Hodge-like decomposition. The orthogonality in Eq. 10 leads to several interesting properties for a stochastic dynamics. First, noting the stochastic differential equation (SDE) in (6), $dq(t) = b(q)dt + d\xi(t)$, and the relation in (9), we have the drift

$$b(q) = -G(q)\nabla\phi(q) \ = \ -D\nabla\phi(q) + J(q)e^{\phi(q)}, \tag{11}$$

and $\langle\xi(t)\xi(t')\rangle = 2D\delta\big(t-t'\big)$. Denoting $\gamma(q) = J(q)e^{\phi(q)}$, then

$$b(q) = -D\nabla\phi + \gamma,\ \nabla\cdot\gamma = 0,\ \nabla\phi\cdot\gamma = 0. \tag{12}$$

The right-hand-side of (11) are Wang's potential and flux landscapes [30] for general SDE. The orthogonality between the gradient and curl terms is an additional feature of Ao's stochastic processes. The first two equations in (12) are a Helmholtz-Hodge-like decomposition with diffusion matrix D [31]. As far as we know, there is no orthogonality in a Hodge decomposition in general.

Xing's Hamiltonian system. Such an orthogonality is a necessary consequence for a damped Hamiltonian system [8, 11] in equilibrium with detailed-balanced stochastic damping:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} + \eta_x(x,y) \\ -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + \eta_y(x,y) \end{pmatrix} + \Gamma(x,y)\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dw_x}{dt} \\ \frac{dw_y}{dt} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

Its generalized Klein-Kramers equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \big(\nabla_x, \nabla_y\big)\left[\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\nabla_x \\ \nabla_y\end{pmatrix}\Gamma(x,y)\Gamma^T(x,y) - \begin{pmatrix}\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} + \eta_x \\ -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + \eta_y\end{pmatrix}\right] u \tag{14}$$

has a reversibility condition for the damping and the noise [32]: There exists an equilibrium between the system and the heat bath: $u^{eq} = e^H$ such that

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}\nabla_x \\ \nabla_y\end{pmatrix}\Gamma(x,y)\Gamma^T(x,y) - \begin{pmatrix}\eta_x(x,y) \\ \eta_y(x,y)\end{pmatrix}\right] u^{eq}(x,y) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Then the stationary distribution to (14) with both Hamiltonian and heat bath parts is again $u^{eq} = e^{-H}$ with rotations in phase space:

$$J(x,y) = -\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\end{pmatrix} u^{eq}(x,y). \tag{16}$$

Indeed, $\nabla u^{eq} \cdot J = 0$. Eqs. (14) and (15) are based on Itō's integration. It is easy to see that if another convention for integration is chosen, both equations will have different expressions; but Eq. (16) is invariant.

Eqs. (11), (13), and (16) together suggest that for any SDE $dq(t) = b(q)dt + d\xi(t)$ with stationary density $e^{-\phi}$ and flux J, if $\nabla\phi \cdot J = 0$, then the SDE can be re-written as

$$dq(t) = \Big\{ -D\nabla\phi(q) + d\xi(t)\Big\} + \gamma(q) \tag{17}$$

in which the first two terms in the $\{\cdots\}$ is from the heat bath with detailed balance. They represent the "friction" and "collision", i.e., dissipation and fluctuation. The dynamics described by the $\gamma(q)$ is a deterministic conservative system [13] with a volume preserving flow in phase space:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathfrak{D}(t)} dq = \oint_{\partial\mathfrak{D}} \gamma(q)\cdot d\vec{S} = \int_{\mathfrak{D}} \nabla\cdot\gamma(q)\, dq = 0. \tag{18}$$

Ao's process indeed has been mapped it to a Hamiltonian system while the stochastic damping corresponding to a harmonic bath [33]. The relationship between this Hamiltonian which includes a large harmonic bath and another one emerging from path-integral formalism [34, 35] remains to be elucidated.

Grmela-Öttinger's GENERIC form. If the $\gamma(q)$ in Eq. 1 is a Hamiltonian system, then the deterministic part of the system has the GENERIC form proposed by Grmela and

Öttinger [36]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} \\ D_{yx} & D_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \right] dt + \begin{pmatrix} d\xi_x(t) \\ d\xi_y(t) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

The orthogonality has also figured prominently in the GENERIC structure which has a rich geometric interpretation.

A generalized Klein-Kramers equation. As we have already noted, while the choice of the intergration for an SDE with multiplicative noise is not unique, *e.g.*, It$\overline{\text{o}}$, Stratonovich, or Ao's divergence form [29], there is no ambiguity at the partial differential equation level. A conservative dynamics in equilibrium with stochastic damping can be written as, in It$\overline{\text{o}}$'s form:

$$\frac{\partial u(q,t)}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \Big[\Big(\nabla \big(D(q) u(q,t) \big) - \eta(q) u(q,t) \Big) - \gamma(q) u(q,t) \Big], \tag{20}$$

with $\eta(q)$ and $\phi(q)$ are related via

$$\nabla \Big(D(q) e^{-\phi(q)} \Big) - \eta(q) e^{-\phi(q)} = 0. \tag{21}$$

Solving $\eta(q)$ from Eq. (21) and substituting it into (20), we have

$$\frac{\partial u(q,t)}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \Big[D(q) \Big(\nabla u(q,t) + u(q,t) \nabla \phi(q) \Big) - \gamma(q) u(q,t) \Big], \tag{22}$$

which is precisely the divergence form Ao advocated. Eqs. 14 and 15 together are a special case of (22). In fact, (15) implies that (14) has the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \big(\nabla_x, \nabla_y \big) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(x,y) \Gamma^T(x,y) \left[\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x \\ \nabla_y \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x \ln u^{eq} \\ \nabla_y \ln u^{eq} \end{pmatrix} \right] - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} \right\} u.$$

2.2 Stochastic thermodynamics

A volume-perserving conservative dynamics $\dot{q} = \gamma(q)$ is time-reversible in the sense $t \to -t$ and $\gamma \to -\gamma$ [13]. In terms of such a time reversal, let us consider a stochatsic path $\omega_t = \big\{ q(s) \big| 0 \le s \le t \big\}$ and its time-reversed path $\omega_t^- = \big\{ q(t-s) \big| 0 \le s \le t \big\}$ under the probability measures $\mathbb{P}^{+\gamma}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{-\gamma}$ defined by SDEs $dq = \big(\gamma - D \nabla \phi \big) dt + d\xi(t)$ and its time-reversal $dq^- = \big(-\gamma - D\nabla\phi \big) dt + d\xi(t)$, respectively. A sample-path based entropy production can be introduced [32, 37, 38, 39, 40]:

$$\begin{aligned} e_p^*(t) &= E^{\mathbb{P}^{+\gamma}}\left[\ln\frac{d\mathbb{P}^{+\gamma}(\omega_t)}{d\mathbb{P}^{-\gamma}(\omega_t^-)}\right] && (23) \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(q,t)\cdot\nabla\ln\left(\frac{u(q,t)}{u^{eq}(q)}\right)dq, && (24) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(q,t)\nabla\ln\Big(u(q,t)e^{\phi(q)}\Big)\cdot D\nabla\ln\Big(u(q,t)e^{\phi(q)}\Big)dq \;\ge\; 0, && (25) \end{aligned}$$

where $J(q,t)=\big(\gamma-D\nabla\phi\big)u(q,t)-D\nabla u(q,t)$, and $E^{\mathbb{P}^{+\gamma}}\big[\cdots\big]$ denotes ensemble everage with respect to $\mathbb{P}^{+\gamma}$. We note that the γ term has disappeared in the final expression: Entropy production is a purely determined by the damping mechanism.

It is important to point out that the e_p^* introdiced in Eq. 23 is different from the standard entropy production for a stochastic diffusion [32, 38, 39, 40]. In the literature, e_p^* has been called as free energy dissipation or non-adiabatic entropy production [24, 25, 26, 27].

In terms of the e_p^*, one can define a nonequilibrium free energy [40],

$$F(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(q,t)\ln\left(\frac{u(q,t)}{u^{eq}(q)}\right)\,dq. \tag{26}$$

Then its time change, as has been shown in [21]:

$$\frac{dF(t)}{dt}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(x,t)\cdot\nabla\ln\left(\frac{u(q,t)}{u^{eq}(q)}\right)\,dx \;=\; -e_p^*(t)\le 0. \tag{27}$$

Eq. 27 should be interpreted as follows: *For Gibbs' canonical ensemble with non-uniform $u^{eq}(q)=e^{-\phi(q)}$, the thermodynamic potential is free energy F whose decreasing rate is the entropy production e_p^*.*

We also note that the nonequilibrium free energy $F(t)=U(t)-S(t)$ with

$$U(t)=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(q,t)\ln u^{eq}(q)\,dq,\quad S(t)=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(q,t)\ln u(q,t)\,dq. \tag{28}$$

They can be interpreted as internal (conservative) energy and entropy, respectively. Furthermore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(q,t)\ln u^{eq}(q)dq\right)=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\nabla\phi\cdot D\nabla\ln\Big(u(q,t)e^{\phi}\Big)\,dq. \tag{29}$$

We note again that the γ term has completely disappeared. The right-hand-side can be interpreted as pure *heat dissipation*, $h_d^*(t)$. Then,

$$\frac{dS}{dt}=e_p^*(t)-h_d^*(t),\quad h_d^*=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\nabla\phi\cdot D\nabla\ln\Big(u(q,t)e^{\phi}\Big)\,dq, \tag{30}$$

which is known as the entropy balance equation in Dutch school of nonequilibrium thermodynamics [41]: $\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{d_iS}{dt} + \frac{d_eS}{dt}$. See also [8] for a discussion on the meanings of these terms as the system's entropy change, total entropy prodction, and heat dissipation.

2.3 Three different time reversals

We now consider a general SDE. In [40], we have introduced the notion of a *canonical conservative dynamics* with respect to a differentiable invariant density $\rho(x)$: $\dot{x} = j(x)$ with $\nabla \cdot \big(\rho(x)j(x)\big) = 0$. A general SDE $dx = b(x)dt + d\xi(t)$, when its stationary density is known, can always be re-written as [40]

$$dx(t) = \Big(b(x) + D(x)\nabla\phi(x)\Big)dt + \Big\{ - D(x)\nabla\phi(x)\ dt + d\xi(t)\Big\}, \tag{31}$$

in which $e^{-\phi(x)} = u^{ss}(x)$ is the stationary density. Then $j(x) = b(x) + D(x)\nabla \ln \phi(x)$ is a canonical conservative dynamics with respect to $u^{ss}(x)$. It has been shown in [40] that under time reveral $\big(t, j\big) \rightarrow \big(-t, -j\big)$, the system in (31) has again entropy production $e_p^*(t) = -dF/dt$.[2]

One can further decompose the term $j(x)$ into parallel and perpendicular to $\nabla\phi(x)$: $j(x) = j_{\|}(x) + j_{\perp}(x)$, with

$$j_{\|}(x) = \left(\frac{j(x)\cdot\nabla\phi(x)}{\|\nabla\phi(x)\|^2}\right)\nabla\phi(x),\ \ j_{\perp}(x) = j(x) - j_{\|}(x), \tag{32}$$

where $\nabla\phi(x)\cdot j_{\perp}(x) = 0$. Then

$$b(x) = -D(x)\nabla\phi(x) + j_{\perp}(x) + j_{\|}(x). \tag{33}$$

The last term can also be written as

$$j_{\|}(x) = \left(\frac{\nabla\cdot j(x)}{\|\nabla\phi(x)\|^2}\right)\nabla\phi(x). \tag{34}$$

It represents the non-conservative nature of $j(x)$ [44]. According to time reversal $\big(t, j\big) \rightarrow \big(-t, j\big)$, all $j(x)$ contributes to stationary entropy production [32, 3]; and according to time reversal $\big(t, j\big) \rightarrow \big(-t, -j\big)$, there will be no stationary entropy production [40]. The present work and Eq. 33 suggests yet another time reversal: $\big(t, j_{\perp}\big) \rightarrow \big(-t, -j_{\perp}\big)$, under which stationary dissipation arises from $j_{\parallel}$. These three different time reversals reflect assumptions based on over-damped, non-damped or under-damped nature of a stationary dynamics.

---

[2] It is intriguing to note that according to D. Ruelle, for a smooth dynamical systems (e.g., Anosov diffeomorphisms), entropy production is always zero if there is an invariant density [42]: It only becomes strictly positive when an invariant measure is singular, i.e, fractal [43].

3 Discussion

3.1 Orthogonality for infinitesimal noise

We now consider a general stochastic differential equation with an infinitesimal stochastic term:

$$dq(t) = b(q)dt + \sqrt{\epsilon}d\xi(t), \;\; \big\langle \xi(t)\xi(t')\big\rangle = 2D\delta(t-t'), \tag{35}$$

with Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial u(q,t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla\cdot J(q,t), \;\; J(q,t) = b(q)u(q,t) - \epsilon D\nabla u(q,t). \tag{36}$$

The large deviation principle suggests that [35]

$$u^{ss}(q) = \exp\left(-\frac{\psi_\epsilon(q)}{\epsilon}\right), \tag{37}$$

and thus, $J^{ss}(q) = u^{ss}(q)\big(b(q) + D\nabla\psi_\epsilon(q)\big)$. Then $\nabla\cdot J^{ss}(q) = 0$ implies

$$\epsilon\nabla\cdot\Big(b(q) + D\nabla\psi_\epsilon(q)\Big) = \Big(b(q) + D\nabla\psi_\epsilon(q)\Big)\cdot\nabla\psi_\epsilon(q). \tag{38}$$

Reversibility under time reversal $\big(t,\gamma\big) \to \big(-t,\gamma\big)$ is eqivalent to $\gamma = b + D\nabla\psi_\epsilon = 0$ [32, 3, 4]. In the present work with time reversal $\big(t,\gamma\big) \to \big(-t,-\gamma\big)$, $\gamma \neq 0$ but $\nabla\cdot\gamma = \gamma\cdot\nabla\psi_\epsilon = 0$. One could consider these conditions as successive “solvability conditions” for the steady state of a stochastic dynamics.

Eq. 38 is exact. We now consider the limit of small ϵ, and $\psi_\epsilon(q) = \phi_0(q) + \epsilon\phi_1(q) + \cdots$, where

$$\nabla\phi_0(q)\cdot\big(D\nabla\phi_0(q) + b(q)\big) = 0, \tag{39}$$

$$\nabla\phi_1(q)\cdot\Big(2D\nabla\phi_0(q) + b(q)\Big) = \nabla\cdot\Big(D\nabla\phi_0(q) + b(q)\Big), \tag{40}$$

$$\nabla\phi_2(q)\cdot\Big(2D\nabla\phi_0(q) + b(q)\Big) = \nabla\cdot\Big(D\nabla\phi_1(q)\Big) - \big(\nabla\phi_1(q)\big)D\big(\nabla\phi_1(q)\big). \tag{41}$$

We thus have a decomposition that is resemblant to that of Hodge:

$$b(q) = -D\nabla\phi_0(q) + \Big(b(q) + D\nabla\phi_0(q)\Big), \tag{42}$$

in which the two terms are orthogonal by the definition of $\phi_0(q)$, as given in (39). However, Eq. 38 indicates that, in the limit of $\epsilon \to 0$, $\nabla \cdot \big(b(q) + D\nabla\phi_0(q)\big)$ is $\frac{0}{0}$. In fact, from (40)

$$\nabla \cdot \Big(b(q) + D\nabla\phi_0(q)\Big) = -\nabla\phi_1(q) \cdot \Big(2D\nabla\phi_0(q) + b(q)\Big) \tag{43}$$

is on the order $O(1)$. Only when $\phi_1(q) \equiv$ a constant, the equation in (42) becomes an orthogonal Hodge decomposition in the asymptotic limit of $\epsilon \to 0$. Ao's stochastic processes has all $\phi_i(q) = 0$ with $i \geq 1$.

3.2 Boltzmann's thermodnamic probability and Kolmogorov backward equation

Motivated by the Eq. 29, let us introduce $\Omega(q,t) = u(q,t)e^{\phi(q)}$. Then

$$\frac{\partial\Omega(q,t)}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot D\nabla\Omega(q,t) - \Big(\gamma(q) + D\nabla\phi(q)\Big) \cdot \nabla\Omega(q,t). \tag{44}$$

The Kolmogorov backward equation corresponding to (22) is

$$\frac{\partial v(q,t)}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot D\nabla v(q,t) - \Big(D\nabla\phi(q) - \gamma(q)\Big) \cdot \nabla v(q,t). \tag{45}$$

They differ only by a $\gamma \to -\gamma$. The function $\Omega(q,t)$ can be interpreted as Boltzmann's "thermodynamic probability", whose logarithm is a thermodynamic potential (Boltzmann entropy). Finally, the probability flux consists of a "mechanical" force γ and an "entropic" force $-D\nabla \ln \Omega$:

$$J(q,t) = \gamma(q) - D\nabla \ln \Omega(q,t). \tag{46}$$

We also note that for both Eqs. 44 and 45, if $v(q,t) > 0$, there is an Boltzmann's H-theorem like relation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(\Omega(q,t)\ln\Omega(q,t)\Big)e^{-\phi(q)}dq = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big(\nabla\Omega\big) \cdot \big(D\nabla\Omega\big)\left(\frac{e^{-\phi}}{\Omega}\right) dq \leq 0. \tag{47}$$

3.3 Conservative and dissipative dynamics

The notions of conservative and dissipative systems are fundamental concepts in mechanics and thermodynamics. They are at the core of I. Prigogine's challenge to Newton-Laplace's world view [45, 46, 47]. Entropy production is the key mathematical quantity characterizing dissipation. Since 1980s, it has become increasingly clear that the mathematical foundation of entropy production lies at the notion of *time reversal* [32, 37, 38].

For the dynamics associated with system in (1), classical Newtonian notion of time reversal is $\big(t, \gamma\big) \rightarrow \big(-t, -\gamma\big)$ which gives rise to an entropy production e_p^* solely by the non-adiabatic entropy production $-dF/dt$. On the other hand, if one chooses time reversal $\big(t, \gamma\big) \rightarrow \big(-t, \gamma\big)$, then total entropy production e_p is the sum of both adiabatic and non-adiabatic entropy productions [24, 27]. The adiabatic entropy production is also known as house-keeping heat [48]: It represents the amount of active energy input to sustain a nonequilibrium steady state [40].

To put the above discussion into sharper contrast, consider the following biophysical experiment on a single motor protein in the presence of given ATP and ADP concentrations in solution. At 100 cycles per second, a motor protein runs for a day with a total $\sim 10^7$ ATP hydrolysis. However, at a millimolar concentration in a millilitre volume, there are 10^{17} total number of ATP molecules. Hence, with a single motor protein running for a day in such a mesoscopic system, the concentration of ATP changes only 1 part of 10 billion. It is essentially undetectable.

Now consider an experiment on a type II superconducting ring with a current in the presence of a magnet. This system has been considered in condensed matter physics as an equilibrium system. However, according to Newton's third law, the supercurrent has to exert a force on the magnet and causing it to slowly demagnetize even though it is essentially undetectable [49].

Newton's first law states that a linear constant motion persists in the absence of a force. A rotatinal motion, hence, requires a force, even when it does no work. According to Newton's third law and our understanding of the constituents of matter, there is always a *consequence, à la* Lord Kelvin, at the origin of the force that causes a rotational motion. The *environment* of an open system, therefore, can not be time reversible.

Conservative or dissipative description of an open system are mathematical models which depend upon an experimentalist's knowledge and perspective. They are rather theoretical issues; the dynamics is closer to the reality.[3]

I thank Ping Ao, Hao Ge, Da-Quan Jiang, Masaki Sasai, Jin Wang, and Jianhua Xing for many helpful discussions.

---

[3] I thank Prof. Madeleine Dong (University of Washington) for continuous discussions on the nature of interpretations, narratives, and discourse in historical research.

References

[1] Esposito, M. (2012) Stochastic thermodynamics under coarse graining. *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 041125.

[2] Seifert, U. (2008) Stochastic thermodynamics: Principles and perspectives. *Eur. Phys. J. B* **64**, 423–431.

[3] Zhang, X.-J., Qian, H. and Qian, M. (2012) Stochastic theory of nonequilibrium steady states and its applications (Part I). *Phys. Rep.* **510**, 1–86.

[4] Ge, H., Qian, M. and Qian, H. (2012) Stochastic theory of nonequilibrium steady states (Part II): Applications in chemical biophysics. *Phys. Rep.* **510**, 87–118.

[5] Doi, M. and Edwards, S.F. (1986) *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics*, Clarendon, Oxford.

[6] Qian, H. (2002) Mesoscopic nonequilibrium thermodynamics of single macromolecules and dynamic entropy-energy compensation. *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 016102.

[7] Wax, N. (1954) *Selected Papers on Noise and Stochastic Processes*. Dover, New York.

[8] Bergmann, P.G. and Lebowitz, J.L. (1955) New appproach to nonequilibrium processes. *Phys. Rev.* 99, 578–587.

[9] Hänggi, P., Talkner, P. and Borkovec, M. (1990) Reaction-rate theory: fifty years after Kramers. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 251–341.

[10] Risken, H. (1984) *The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solutions and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[11] Qian, M. (1979) The invariant measure and ergodic property of a Markov semigroup (in Chinese). *Beijing Daxue Xuebao*, **2**, 46–59.

[12] Kim, K.H. and Qian, H. (2004) Entropy production of Brownian macromolecules with inertia. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 120602.

[13] Strogatz, S.H. (2001) *Nonlinear Dynamics And Chaos: With Applications To Physics, Biology, Chemistry, And Engineering.* Westview Press, Boulder, CO.

[14] Coffey, W.T., Kalmykov, Y.P. and Waldron, J.T. (1996) *The Langevin Equation*. World Scientiffc, Singapore.

[15] Kwon, C., Noh, J.D. and Park, H. (2011) Nonequilibrium fluctuations for linear diffusion dynamics. *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 061145.

[16] Kwon, C. and Ao, P. (2011) Nonequilibrium steady state of a stochastic system driven by a nonlinear drift force. *Phys. Rev. E* **84**, 061106.

[17] Spinney, R.E. and Ford, I.J. (2012) Entropy production in full phase space for continuous stochastic dynamics. *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 051113.

[18] Munakata, T. and Rosinberg, M.L. (2012) Entropy production and fluctuation theorems under feedback control: The molecular refrigerator model revisited. arXiv.org/abs/1202.0974

[19] Qian, H. (2012) Cooperativity in cellular biochemical processes: Noise-enhanced sensitivity, fluctuating enzyme, bistability with nonlinear feedback, and other mechanisms for sigmoidal responses. *Ann. Rev. Biophys.* **41**, 179–204.

[20] Ao, P. (2004) Potential in stochastic differential equations: Novel construction. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37**, L25-L30.

[21] Ao, P. (2008) Emerging of stochastic dynamical equalities and steady state thermodynamics from Darwinian dynamics. *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **49**, 1073–1090.

[22] Kwon, C., Ao, P. and Thouless, D.J. (2005) Structure of stochastic dynamics near fixed points. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 3054–3058.

[23] Casimir, H.B.G. (1945) On Onsager's principle of microscopic reversibility. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **17**, 343–350.

[24] Ge, H. and Qian, H. (2010) The physical origins of entropy production, free energy dissipation and their mathematical representations. *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 051133.

[25] Hatano, T. and Sasa, S.-I. (2001) Steady-state thermodynamics of Langevin systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3463–3466.

[26] Chernyak, V.Y., Chertkov, M. and Jarzynski, C. (2006) Path-integral analysis of fluctuation theorems for general Langevin processes. *J. Stat. Mech. Th. Exp.* P08001.

[27] van den Broeck, C. and Esposito, M. (2010) The three faces of the Second Law: II. Fokker-Planck formulation. *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 011144.

[28] Yin, L. and Ao, P. (2006) Existence and construction of dynamical potential in nonequilibrium processes without detailed balance. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39**, 8593–8601.

[29] Yuan, R. and Ao, P. (2012) Beyond Itō vs. Stratonovich. *J. Stat. Mech. Th. Exp.* to appear; arXiv.org/abs/1203.6600

[30] Wang, J., Xu, L. and Wang, E. (2008) Potential landscape and flux framework of nonequilibrium networks: Robustness, dissipation, and coherence of biochemical oscillations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**,12271–12276.

[31] Qian, M. and Wang, Z.-D. (1999) The entropy production of diffusion processes on manifolds and its circulation decompositio. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **206**, 429–445.

[32] Jiang, D.-Q., Qian, M. and Qian, M.-P. (2004) *Mathmatical Theory of Nonequilibrium Steady States*, LNM 1833, Springer, New York.

[33] Xing, J. (2010) Mapping between dissipative and Hamiltonian systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Th.* **43**, 375003.

[34] Wang, J., Zhang, K. and Wang, E. (2010) Kinetic paths, time scale, and underlying landscapes: A path integral framework to study global natures of nonequilibrium systems and networks. *J. Chem. Phys.* **133**, 125103.

[35] Ge, H. and Qian, H. (2012) Analytical mechanics in stochastic dynamics: Most probable path, large-deviation rate function and Hamilton-Jacobi equation. arXiv.org/abs/1205.6052

[36] Grmela, M. and Öttinger, H.C. (1997) Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. I. Development of a general formalism. *Phys. Rev. E.* **56**, 6620-6632.

[37] Qian, M.-P. and Qian, M. (1985) The entropy production and irreversibility of Markov processes. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* **30**, 445–447.

[38] Seifert, U. (2005) Entropy production along a stochastic trajectory and an integral fluctuation theorem. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 040602.

[39] Ge, H. and Jiang, D.-Q. (2007) The transient fluctuation theorem of sample entropy production for general stochastic processes. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40**, F713-F723.

[40] Qian, H. (2012) A decomposition of irreversible diffusion processes without detailed balance. arXiv.org/abs/1204.6496

[41] de Groot, S.R. and Mazur, P. (1962) *Non-equilibrium Thermodynamics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.

[42] Ruelle, D. (1997) Entropy production in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **189**, 365–371.

[43] Jiang, D.-Q., Qian, M. and Qian, M.-P. (2000) Entropy production and information gain in axiom-A systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214**, 389–409.

[44] Andrey, L. (1985) The rate of entropy change in non-Hamiltonian systems. *Phys. Lett. A* **111**, 45–46.

[45] Prigogine, I and Stengers, I. (1984) *Order Out of Chaos*, New Sci. Lib. Shambhala Pub., Boulder, CO.

[46] Pagels, H.R. (1985) Is the irreversibility we see a fundamental property of nature? *Phys. Today* **38(Jan)**, 97–99.

[47] Bricmont, J. (1995) Science of chaos or chaos of science? *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **775**, 131–175.

[48] Oono, Y. and Paniconi, M. (1998) Steady state thermodynamics. *Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp.* **130**, 29–44.

[49] Ao, P. and Zhu, X.-M. (1999) Microscopic theory of vortex dynamics in homogeneous superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 6850–6877.